

Flow-based Detection of IPv6-specific Threats

RIPE71, Bucharest

Luuk Hendriks

Design and Analysis of Communication Systems

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

*“Absence of evidence
is no evidence of absence”*

We don't have proper v6 security appliances



We don't see any threats on v6



We don't need to spend resources on this

USENIX WOOT '14
Workshop On Offensive Technologies:

*IPv6 Security: Attacks and
Countermeasures in a Nutshell,*

Ullrich et al.:

42 threats, almost all L3

We want ...

To define flow-level signatures of
IPv6 L3-specific threats

in order to enable detection in a
easily deployable, scalable fashion

We don't want ...

**To turn our flow exporters
into full-blown packet-based
IDS/IPS/...**

**doing anything but
exporting quality flow records**

**Almost everything we need is
almost there almost-ish**

IANA IPFIX Information Elements:

e0id31: flowLabelIPv6

e0id5: ipClassOfService (Traffic Class)

e0id139: icmpTypeCodeIPv6

**Q: how many of these fields were exported
by our probe?**

“we never have had such request yet”
– \$vendor support guy

Time to enjoy IPFIX

Currently focussing on exporting
fragmentation information:

e785id401: v6fragNxtProto

e785id402: v6fragNxtSrc

e785id403: v6fragNxtDst

e785id404: v6fragMinOffset

Where to now?

Right now,

generate and test with synthetic attacks

Soon,

deploy online detection at two NRENs

In the long run,

characterize the v6 security landscape

Discussion

How v6-ready are your flow exporters?

What IPFIX Information Elements should we define and standardize?

Which other possible uses of these IEs can we think of?

Flow-based Detection of IPv6-specific Threats

As presented at RIPE71, Bucharest

Luuk Hendriks

luuk.hendriks@utwente.nl

IRC: dRiek/DriKE/dRk/dr<tab><tab><tab>

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.