

Route-object authorization update

RIPE71

Job Snijders

(For the best working group on the
planet)

The problem:

“Foreign / Alien” networks expand to Europe ->

-> Operators ask them to register the RIPE IP space in the RIPE IRR

-> They end up creating a duplicate autnum in the RIPE IRR, possibly left angry and confused

Current authorization structure



In May/June 2015

- Discussion on db-wg@ and at interim db-wg meeting at NANOG
- No consensus was reached
- Historic context dug up

What way forward?

- A) No longer require the origin ASN to approve the route-object creation, only the inetnum holder
- B) Apply method A, but only for non-RIPE managed origin ASNs?
- C) Create an opt-in/opt-out knob so individual networks can prevent being an origin ASN as per method (A)
- D) Tighten method A by doing email-based validation as per Denis' recent proposal?

Back to the issue

- I only hear `_foreign_` networks complaining, we want to serve them as well.

If we want changes, a proposal needs to be drawn up, are there volunteers?